

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/535,018	OLSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Mackey	1722

All Participants:

(1) James Mackey.

Status of Application: Amended

(3) _____.

(2) Donald Dowden.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2006

Time: 4:00PM EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

6 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant authorized correcting the recitation of --two of said pilot holes-- in claim 6, and authorized amending claim 19 as per the Examiner's Amendment to set forth a method of use of the mould assembly, since a recitation regarding the molded product does not further limit an apparatus claim..